REAL PROPERTY APPRAISER BOARD
MAIN LEVEL, ROOM "1Y"
NEBRASKA STATE OFFICE BUILDING
301 CENTENNIAL MALL SOUTH, LINCOLN, NE

18 March 2010

OPENING

Chairman Gregg Mitchell called to order the March 18, 2010 meeting of the Nebraska Real Property Appraiser Board at 9:06 a.m., in Room "1Y" on the main level of the Nebraska State Office Building, 301 Centennial Mall South, Lincoln, Nebraska.

NOTICE OF MEETING

Chairman Mitchell announced the notice of the meeting was duly given, posted, published and tendered in compliance with the Open Meetings Act, and all Board members received notice simultaneously by e-mail. The agenda was kept current in the Nebraska Real Property Appraiser Board Office and on the Board's website. Materials generally used by the Board for this meeting were available in the public folder for inspection by the public and in accordance with the Open Meetings Act. A copy of the Open Meetings Act was available for the duration of the meeting. For the record, Board Members Bradford Moore, Matthew 'Joe' Wilson, Philip Barkley, David Hartman, and R. Gregg Mitchell were present. Director Kitty Policky was also present.

ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

Chairman Mitchell reminded those present for the meeting that the Agenda cannot be altered 24 hours prior to the meeting except for emergency items according to the Open Meetings Act. Vice Chair Moore moved to adopt the Agenda as printed. Board Member Barkley seconded the motion. With no further discussion, the motion carried with Moore, Wilson, Barkley, Hartman, and Mitchell voting aye

WELCOME GUESTS

Chair Mitchell welcomed the guests to the meeting and asked that they please sign the guest log.

OPEN SESSION /APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES FROM FEBRUARY 18, 2010

Chairman Mitchell asked for any additions or corrections to the February 18, 2010 minutes. With no further discussion Vice Chairman Moore moved to adopt the minutes as presented. Board Member Barkley seconded the motion. The motion carried with Wilson, Barkley, Hartman, Moore, and Mitchell voting aye.

CHAIRMAN'S REPORT

Chairman Mitchell deferred his report until the arrival of John Goc. Mr. Goc was contracted by the Board to assist with legislative procedures in the passing LB931. Meetings and negotiations concerning proposed legislation have since occurred and Mr. Goc's input would assist in explaining the status of LB931 to date. Chairman Mitchell did however commented on how interesting the past month had been in dealing with the proposed legislation. Turning to a communication received from the Govt. Affairs Director of the Nebraska Realtors Association providing a position on broker's price opinions, Chairman Mitchell stressed that no changes were made to LB931 to alter or restrict the use of BPOs in our legislation in the State of Nebraska. Also referenced were obligations of NRA's Code of Ethics relating to BPOs. In respect to real estate disciplines other than appraisal, they shall be interpreted and applied in accordance with the standards of competence and practice

which clients and the public reasonably require to protect their rights and interests considering the complexity of the transaction, the availability of expert assistance, and where the realtor is an agent or subagent, with obligations of a fiduciary.

Chairman Mitchell continued by reporting on the testimony at the hearing on February 9th. The tasks were divided with Chairman Mitchell testifying on the changes represented in LB931 and Vice Chairman Moore testified against LB818. In addition to Board members, several other professional organizations had members present to testify for LB931 as well as against LB818. Scott Dibiasio and Leslie Sellers were present with testimony on behalf of the Appraisal Institute, American Society of Farm Managers & Rural Appraisers, American Society of Appraisers and the National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers. Past member and chairman of the Appraiser Board John Childears testified against LB818 as well as supporting LB931. Great efforts were made to explain the distinction between an appraisal and a broker's price opinion and the impact of including loan origination in the venue of performing a BPO or CMA. Testimony overwhelmingly cautioned Senators in their deliberations to keep the factors put together in mind. Both bills were still in committee and LB931 became a priority bill for the Banking Committee.

DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Director Policky reported the number of appraisers for March 2010 stands as follows: 110 Registered/Conversion; 103 Licensed; 207 Certified Residential; and 375 Certified General for a total of 795 appraisers representing an increase of four appraisers. The accounting includes the totals for March 2009 with a total of 828 appraisers. The renewal process, although almost completed, is ongoing until June 30, 2010. The fluctuation with the certified appraiser totals are most generally in the reciprocal numbers. It is the goal of the Board and this office to encourage an upgrade to a certified credential.

A question was presented to the Attorney General's office to enhance the same question submitted in February 1994 requesting guidelines in determining if the Appraiser Board may hire a lobbyist or "support special interest groups such as the Nebraska Appraiser Coalition." While noting it to be a "gray area," the Attorney General's office stated that "while there was no specific statutory authority for the Board to hire a lobbyist, it may be permissible for the Board to do so if it is necessary in order to administer and enforce the Act." The legal analysis provided continues to be applicable but caution should be used in exercising that right. The requested response was simply reinforcement to avoid inadvertently misappropriating funds.

Director Policky closed the report by thanking the Board for their service and assistance.

RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES REPORT – FEBRUARY 2010

The receipts and expenditures for February were reviewed by the Director by line item with the Board. A total of $14,253.24 in expenditures and $5,959.53 in receivables is reported. Note that expenditures are considerably less without the expense to meet the statutory obligation of the Board to supply each resident credential with a current copy of USPAP. Receivables are not as significant as prior months but we are still in the process of renewals for 2010. A copy of the Budget Status Report, the General Ledger Report and Receipt Journal for February are attached and considered part of these minutes.

Chairman Mitchell called for a motion to approve the February 2010 Receipts & Expenditures. Vice Chairman Moore moved to accept and file the February 2010 Receipts & Expenditures report for audit. Board Member Wilson seconded the motion. With no further discussion, Chairman Mitchell

called for the vote. The motion carried with Barkley, Hartman, Moore, Wilson, and Mitchell voting aye.

In consideration that Mr. Goc had not arrived at this time, the Board preceded to Mr. Stoeber and the USPAP Compliant Report changes.

NEW BUSINESS

1. USPAP Compliant Report

Mr. Stoeber prepared for the Board a handout of the Standard 3 Compliance Report reflecting the changes made as a result of the adoption of the 2010-11 Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. The biggest change is to the Standard 3 begins on page four of the compliance form. Instead of the previous eight sections, this compliance report will have twelve sections. Mr. Stoeber stated that no matter what year a report was signed to be reviewed, page four of the compliance report form must remain under current USPAP guidelines.

Director Policky asked if there was an effective means of reminding reviewers of the two biggest changes to the current USPAP in revealing the change to the Conduct Section of the Ethics Rule in modifying the disclosure requirement in requiring that "prior to accepting an assignment, and if discovered at any time during the assignment, an appraiser must disclose to the client and in the report certification any services regarding the subject property performed by the appraiser within the prior three years, as an appraiser or in any other capacity" and changes to the Jurisdictional Exception Rule in the definition of jurisdictional exception as "an assignment condition established by applicable law or regulation, which precludes an appraiser from complying with a part of USPAP." The change is intended to clarify that jurisdictional exceptions are created when compliance with USPAP is precluded by law or regulation. Such law or regulation must be cited.

Chairman Mitchell suggested at least making the requirement in regard to disclosure a checked item in the compliance report to remind reviewers to take such requirement into consideration. Mr. Stoeber added that the requirements to cite jurisdictional exception and the disclosure to the client would be included.

Vice Chairman Moore requested time to review the form for any further changes. Mr. Stoeber stated that changes can be made at any time.

2. 10-11 USPAP Review Training

Future plans will be made to contract with Mr. Stoeber for a training session. The USPAP Compliance Report form changes can most likely be reviewed in two hours. Vice Chairman Moore suggested that perhaps we could produce a packet with the changes to send to the reviewers instead of planning a training session. Although the changes can readily be reviewed, Chairman Mitchell reminded members that the review training session proves invaluable information in discussing the problems we have encountered as reviewers even if it is for only two hours. The strategy will be to begin with the packet of information and plan a future review training session. A motion is not necessary as definitive plans for expenditures or content have not been made at this time but will be finalized at a future meeting.

Mr. Stoeber agreed to prepare an outline of charges for the Board for consideration.

Board took a break at 9:50 a.m.

Board meeting reconvened at 10:05 a.m.

CHAIR'S REPORT & UNFINISHED BUSINESS
1. LB931 & LB818
A resolution adopted at the February 18th meeting allowed the Chairman and Vice Chairman to negotiate for the Board during the legislative process. The process has been long and overwhelming. Negotiations were been made to advance the bill. The act within the Banking Committee to combine LB831 and LB818 led to the formulation of Amendment 2047.

Board members and Director Policky reviewed the changes made in AM2047 from the original versions and continued with Amendment 2248 which made additional amendments to Standing Committee amendments AM2047. The primary changes were to place the BPO and CMA legislation under the Nebraska Real Estate License Act instead of the Nebraska Real Property Appraiser Act and extends the use of the BPO or CMA for the purpose of "(1) listing, purchase, or sale or (2) obtaining, extending, or modifying financing in a transaction other than a federally-related transaction." AM2048 changed the listing, purchase, or sale to "obtaining, extending, renewing, or modifying a loan." In addition, AM2248 defines a federally related transaction and federal financial institution regulatory agency.

Discussion of the accumulation of amendments to the bill continued. The language added or left out was discussed to assess what would be left of the original bill, LB931. Without the Appraisal Management Company legislation, the remainder of the bill metamorphosed into a bill amended by Banking Committee.

The arrival of Mr. Goc turned the attention to the journey in formulating the legislation at hand. A meeting on March 3rd called by Speaker Flood involved approximately twenty individuals representing banking, real estate, appraisal, and the Banking Committee. The purpose was to formulate guidelines and legislation in regard to LB931. The result of the meeting was to put our concerns in draft form and return those concerns to the committee considering time is of the essence. Mr. Goc submitted a series of criteria in regard to BPOs which were not accepted by the Banking Committee. With the assistance of banking legal counsel and Mr. Goc, the provisions of LB818 were placed under the Real Estate License Act. Those changes are AM2248 which will amend 2047.

Mr. Goc explained that with the elimination of the Appraisal Management Company legislation, he formulated a legislative resolution for an interim study to determine whether the Real Property Appraiser Act should be amended to provide for the regulation of appraisal management companies. The Banking, Commerce and Insurance Committee of the Legislature shall be designated to conduct an interim study to carry out the purposes of this resolution and shall upon the conclusion of its study, make a report of its findings, together with its recommendations, to the Legislative Council or Legislature. Such interim studies usually result in a Committee bill. It was stressed that the interim study is completely separate from all other considerations of the bill.

Vice Chairman Moore returned to the BPO issue reminding Mr. Goc that on January 18th, the Appraisal Board and community came out in strong opposition to the proposed legislation and asked Senator Langemeier not to advance LB931 combined with LB818. What can be done at this point? What would be the argument of this Board not to continue 100% opposition to the BPO bill? Mr. Goc simply reiterated that the Banking Committee had worked hard to get this far with the proposed bill and continued opposition would not change the strength of the opponents found in banking and real estate. Vice Chair Moore continued in that no changes could really be seen

regardless of where the BPO legislation is placed, in the Appraiser Act or the License Act, it is the same problem for the appraisers.

Chairman Mitchell reiterated that as a result of the March 3rd meeting, accusations of how we view the realtors surfaced and it is apparent that no state regulations exist at the time that allow for the standards of conduct or the ethical conduct of producing BPOs. Obviously all guidelines have consequently been removed so the questions to Mr. Goc is first, if there is any room to modify the BPO section and secondly, if we continue our opposition, do we neutralize all hopes of negotiating in regard to the Appraisal Management Companies? Mr. Goc asked for consideration of the time in this short session. At this point there simply was not enough time to continue AMC negotiations.

Chairman Mitchell continued by proposing a possible position in that while we remain concerned about what proliferation of the use of CMAs and BPOs and the lack of oversight in the preparation and conduct of the evaluation products, we would adopt a neutral stance in regard to LB931 and continue to state our concerns but state that we are not going to mount opposition to the bill at this point but simply remain neutral.

Requesting further discussion Board Member Barkley reminded the Board that the concerns for no oversight were solved when putting the evaluation process under the Nebraska Licensing Act. Listening to Mr. Goc, perhaps this is an opportunity to reflect some positive spin and work towards creating regulation in regard to the Appraisal Management Companies.

Mr. Goc agreed that the neutral position may have the most rewards. Chairman Mitchell suggested that our concerns are encouraged by placing oversight under the auspice of the Real Estate Commission. We can continue to encourage creating standards for conduct and reporting and emphasize to the Commission that we are ready to assist. It was stressed by Chairman Mitchell that this is a Board decision. We must come to terms as a Board and continue to work for progress for the profession. Nothing is to be accomplished by further opposition. The best thing to do at this point is to offer our assistance and experience to the Real Estate Commission.

Vice Chairman Moore expressed his adamant opposition to the idea of going neutral. Nothing has changed and continuing opposition could not further alienate participants or the Real Estate Commission. We are here to represent the appraisers. By and far they are opposed. They have given us nothing so why bother to negotiate. This legislation is not good for the consumers of Nebraska so why change our opposition to the bill. Although the Board will vote for a position, we will vote as individuals in that process.

Board Member Wilson asked Mr. Goc if we continue our stance of opposition, what actions do we take as a Board? If we're not in opposition and stand neutral, how do we finish the session in regard to the bill? Fighting the two most powerful lobbies in Nebraska will not put us in a negotiating position but both sides are evident. The AMC legislation will eventually be developed with or without the help of the appraisers but at what position. It is a difficult decision.

Board Member Barkley asked how we better our position as appraisers when we know it is going to fail. There is no means of bettering our position by opposition. Perception is a characteristic of negotiation. Although Vice Chair Moore felt as if our position cannot be improved, Board Member Barkley felt that negotiation may alter a future outcome for the Board in regard to Appraisal Management Company legislation. It is easy to see the responsibility of a regulatory board getting pulled into politics.

Vice Chair Moore continued the discussion by stating that we are getting involved with the politics by taking a neutral stance. There is no good will to be garnered by negotiation. Board Member Barkley continued with reminding the Board that we should not perceive our actions as a snap shot but as a continuum and offer good will.

Vice Chair Moore expressed his concerns in that the good will goes unnoticed. We can say at the end of the day that we are happy that the actions are not part of our bill but the reality is that it is still there only in a different version. Doesn't much matter but at the end of the day, we could say that we opposed the bill and stuck to our commitment. Banking has offered nothing. Maybe by not opposing the bill good will can be garnered down the road, he expressed any negotiating would likely be one sided with banking and real estate.

Mr. Goc expressed concern with the members of the Banking Committee in holding the bill in their efforts to get the sides to come to terms for almost six weeks. The Committee feels that the proposed legislation may be a possible solution for this year.

Chairman Mitchell reminded Mr. Goc of the proposed guidelines for development and reporting for BPO and CMA valuations. It was hopeful that at least some of the proposed guidelines would have been incorporated into the law. Of the proposed guidelines, half of them are already required by the National Association of Realtors in their code of ethics.

Mr. Goc pointed out that the appraisers have gotten an interim study to proceed with the AMC legislation and we have tomorrow and a chance at the future. Legislation is an ongoing process and there's the next session of the Legislature to further our objectives. The Real Estate Commission may find that as their responsibility they will implement guidelines through rules and regulations. This is a change in proposed legislation that they will need time to implement a means of administrating. And, as is known, they will have to negotiate new Errors & Omissions insurance to include valuation products so the realtors will have the responsibility of implementing changes and the E&O carrier may have a set of requirements for coverage.

Chairman Mitchell pointed out that at the end of discussion, there are two varying opinions being expressed. It is imperative to consider at this point in time, the modifications we are interested in making in the future and if we continue to oppose this legislation, do we lose all negotiating positions as well as the respect of our constituents.

Board Member Wilson cited the lack of negotiation and that this legislation was simply shoved down our throats and we virtually had no input other than keeping our mouth shut as banking and real estate continued to change our proposed bill but at the same time, we should extend an offer to the Real Estate Commission offering our assistance and we should inform the Senators that this was thrust upon us and there was no negotiating and he would still oppose the legislation.

Board Member Barkley did not disagree with Brad or Joe but did state that sometimes you have to look to the future and as both had stated, continued opposition is not going to have any affect and is not going to get us anything simply leads to my decision in perhaps taking the avenue that may get us future results may be the most promising.

Vice Chairman Moore questioned what actions could be taken to continue to oppose the bill stating it is pretty clear that the writing is on the wall but he would not weaken his opposition in going

forward but stressed that he had no hard feelings toward members of the Board who did not agree with his opinion.

Board Member Hartman stressed the fact that perhaps the most important issue for the proposed legislation this year was the appraisal management companies and the battle over the BPO issue has overshadowed the importance. He questioned how the Board could oppose its own bill in omitting the AMC legislation and putting the BPO issue in the Real Estate Licensing law.

Chairman Mitchell proposed perhaps a policy statement in: 1) oppose the bill and restate that the use of BPO and CMA valuations for lending purposes is still considered by this Board not to be good policy and lacks adequate protection for the public; 2) offer our assistance to the Real Estate Commission to develop reporting and development standards; and 3) express our interest in working with the AMC task force in a proactive manner to develop future legislation. The Board appears to be in agreement with the last two statements but differs on the first statement. Having discussed the options, going neutral opens doors to go forward with the AMC legislation.

Vice Chairman Moore moved to oppose LB931. Board Member Wilson seconded the motion. Future discussion by Board Member Hartman simply reiterated that opposition may not give the Board the opportunity to go forward in negotiating legislation with the realtors or bankers. With no further discussion the Chairman called for the vote. Hartman and Barkley voted against the motion and Wilson and Moore voted in favor of the motion and Chairman Mitchell voted against the motion. The motion to stand in opposition failed by three votes opposed and two vote in favor.

Chairman Mitchell called for another motion. Board Member Barkley proposed that the Board remain neutral as a positive move for the future. Board Member Hartman seconded the motion. With no further discussion the Chairman called for the vote. Moore and Wilson voted against the motion and Barkley and Hartman voted in favor of the motion. Chairman Mitchell also voted in favor of the motion. The motion to remain neutral passed by three in favor to two votes opposed.

Chairman Mitchell proposed a motion to state that we appreciate the efforts of the Banking Committee to craft a compromise and pledge to work with the Real Estate Commission in developing standards for BPOs and to make it clear that we stand ready to assist with the AMC task force to develop the new AMC language. Board Member Wilson so moved and Board Member Barkley seconded. With no further discussion, Chairman Mitchell called for the vote. The motion carried with Wilson, Barkley, Hartman, Moore, and Mitchell voting aye.

Board members thanked Mr. Goc for his assistance and to pursue Legislative Resolution 05150 to study the future development of AMC legislation.

Board took a break at 11:20 a.m.
Board meeting reconvened at 11:30 a.m.

Chairman Mitchell thanked members of the Board for their participation and most importantly their input on these very difficult matters and finding that members can agree to disagree. The exchange of dialogue has been important. The interaction by the Board has been most appreciative.

GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENTS
Chair Mitchell asked for any public comments. With no further comments, the Chair proceeded to education.

EDUCATION

Only one issue was discussed in regard to education in the consideration of the USPAP Update equivalent. McKissock has submitted an USPAP Update equivalent that is AQB/CAP approved and meets the guidelines for offering to Nebraska appraisers. With no further discussion, the Chair called for a vote. Board Member Wilson moved to approve the following continuing education seminars and respective instructors as listed:

Continuing Education New:

1. Appraisal Institute, Chicago, IL:

A. "Appraisal Opportunity: The Lending World in Crisis" / 7 hours / C21027
Instructors: Larry T. Wright

B. "Advanced Income Capitalization" / 33 hours / C2889
Instructors: Harry Holzhauer & Stephen Roach

C. "Analyzing the Effects of Envir. Contamination on Real Property / 7 hrs. / C21028
Instructor: Dr. Thomas Jackson

2. Appraisal Institute, Nebraska Chapter:
"Appraising the Tough Ones: Case Studies in Complex Residential Valuation"
7 hours / C9968
Instructor: Alan Hummel

3. McKissock, Warren, PA:

A. "2010-2011 National USPAP Update Equivalent" / 7 hours / C21001 & C21101
Instructors: Lee Wessendorf

B. "Appraising Apartments: The Basics" / 7 hours ONLINE / C21008-I
Instructor: Richard McKissock

Continuing Education Renewal Requests:

1. Appraisal Institute, Chicago, IL:

A. "Professional's Guide to the Fannie Mae 2-4 Unit Form 1025" 10 hrs. / ONLINE C2710-I
Instructor: Arlen C. Mills

2. Property Assessment & Taxation, Nebraska:

A. "Residential Quality, Condition and Effective Age," 16 hours / C2711
Instructor: Rick Stuart

New AQB/CAP Core Curriculum Requests

1. Trans-American Institute of Professional Studies, Inc., Kearney, NE:

A. "General Appraiser Sales Comparison Approach" / 30 hours / CG006
Instructor: Lynne Heiden

B. "General Appraiser Report Writing & Case Studies" / 30 hours / CG007
Instructor: Lynne Heiden

AQB/CAP Core Curriculum Requests

1. Trans-American Institute of Professional Studies, Inc., Kearney, NE:

A. Submission of new text for CG005 "General Site Valuation & Cost Approach"
Text: Cengage Learning to replace Dearborn w/new timed outline.

Vice Chairman Moore seconded the motion. With no further discussion, Chairman Mitchell called for the vote. The motion carried with Barkley, Moore, Wilson, Hartman, and Mitchell voting aye.

APPLICANTS & ENFORCEMENT

Vice Chair Moore moved that the Board go into executive session for the purpose of reviewing applicants for credentialing and consideration of written complaints and disciplinary action. A closed session is clearly necessary to prevent needless injury to the reputation of the individual or individuals relating to the issues of qualifying applicants and relating to the alleged violations of performance in real property appraisal practice. The time on the meeting clock was 11:45. Board Member Wilson seconded the motion. The motion carried with Hartman, Moore, Wilson, Barkley, and Mitchell voting aye.

Vice Chair Moore moved to come out of executive session at 1:42 p.m. Board Member Wilson seconded the motion. The motion carried with Moore, Wilson, Barkley, Hartman, and Mitchell voting aye.

Vice Chair Moore moved to take the following action for the License Residential applicants:

L588: Approve to sit for examination. Request the three selected reports for review. Resubmitted to select alternate reports. Select two alternate reports.

Vice Chair Moore moved to take the following action for Certified Residential applicant:

CR362 / Candidate meets all AQB upgrade requirements to qualify for CR credential. Approve for credentialing when successful completion of exam is submitted.

CR372 / Candidate meets all AQB upgrade requirements to qualify for CR credential. Approve for credentialing when successful completion of exam is submitted.

CR373 / Candidate meets all AQB upgrade requirements to qualify for CR credential. Approve for credentialing when successful completion of exam is submitted.

CR374 / Select three (3) appraisal reports for a residential: <20 years; residential >20 years and a 2-4 family for Standard 3 Report. Approve to submit for examination.

Vice Chair Moore moved to take the following action Certified General Reciprocity applicant:

CG598: Work product review failed. Deny application for reciprocity credential. Candidate does not meet the statutory qualifications for reciprocity.

Board Member Wilson seconded the motion. Motion carried with Moore, Wilson, Barkley, Hartman, and Mitchell voting aye.

Vice Chair Moore moved to take the following enforcement actions:

06-22 Hold. Education must be completed by Nov. 30th and report submission completed by Dec. 31st. Failure to meet deadlines / Dec. 31, file Formal Complaint. Appraiser has not renewed credential for 2010.

08-04 Hold. Education due by December 31, 2009. Credential suspended until requirements of Consent Agreement are met. Appraiser has not renewed credential

09-02 Quarterly log selection USPAP Compliant Report. Approve report.

09-21 Notify appraiser falsified application and renewal forms. Send notification terminate right to renew. Surrender right to appraise in Nebraska. Informal 4/22 1:40 p.m.

09-22 Report sent for Standard 3 Review Report. Accepted report. Dismiss.

09-23 Report sent for Standard 3 Review Report. Advisory and close.

09-26 Certified mail. Workfile must be delivered by 4.1.10.
09-27 Schedule informal conference. Hold.
10-01 Report meets minimum USPAP requirements. Close.
10-02 Send to reviewer for USPAP Compliant Report. Accepted. Informal 4/22 1:00 p.m.
10-03 Hold. Reviewer has not completed USPAP Compliant Review report.
10-04 Hold. Reviewer has not completed USPAP Compliant Review report.
10-05 Separate cases creating 10-05A & 10-05B and resend request for true copy of report and workfile and proceed with both cases together.
10-06 Request true copy of reports and workfiles.
10-07 Separate case for each appraiser creating 10-07A & 10-07B. Request true copy of report and workfile. Send for compliance review.

Board Member Wilson seconded the Motion. With no further discussion the motion carried with Wilson, Barkley, Hartman, Moore, and Mitchell voting aye.

Vice Chair Moore moved to take the following enforcement action:
09-07 Hold. Formal / Hearing.
09-09 Hold. Formal / Hearing
09-25 Informal 2/15/2010 2:00 p.m., NSOB. Hold until prior hearing is completed.

Board Member Barkley seconded the motion. The motion carried with Barkley, Hartman, Moore, and Mitchell voting aye. Board Member Wilson abstained.

Vice Chair Moore moved to take the following enforcement action:
08-11 Hold / Board granted another extension for education until March 1, 2010. Stress to appraiser there will be no further extensions. Appraiser has not met conditions of Consent Agreement. Appraiser has not renewed to date.

Board Member Wilson seconded the motion. The motion carried with Moore, Wilson, Hartman, and Mitchell voting aye. Board Member Barkley abstained.

Tentative meeting dates were approved as follows: April 22, 2010 / Lower Level B
May 20, 2010 / 1Y
June 17, 2010 / 1Y
July 15, 2010 / 1Y

ADJOURNMENT
Board Member Wilson moved to adjourn the meeting. Board Member Barkley seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

At 1:48 p.m. Chairman Mitchell adjourned the March 18, 2010 meeting of the Real Property Appraiser Board.

Respectfully submitted,

Kitty Policky, Director

These minutes were available for public inspection on March 26, 2010, in compliance with Nebraska Statute §84-1413(5).